

C O P Y3-10213
(Sub. Gr. 3)SECRET

June 23, 1967

re: APH/67/196
dated 30th June, 67

Dear Arthur:

Thank you for your letter of June 8, 1967. ✓ APH/67/169
I, too, was sorry to have missed you in Paris.

Your interpretation and that of the Canadian Representative with respect to Section F(1) of Part II of our outline corresponds to my own. This section of the report is intended to cover the contribution NATO might make outside the NATO area. In this context, the reference should, indeed, have been to Article 52 of the UN Charter, but this does not imply that NATO is necessarily a regional organization of the type envisaged under Article 52. In drafting my report I intend to follow this interpretation.

While I agree with you that there is no need to make any formal change in the wording of the outline, if it were to be changed, I believe that language like the following would reflect better the intent of this section:

"How might NATO security policies contribute to stability in the world consistently with the activities of regional arrangements established in accordance with Article 52 of the UN Charter?"

With best regards.

Sincerely,

(signed) Foy Kohler

Mr. A.P. Hockaday