59

DEF 6 NATO

Department of State



SECRET 178

PAGE: 01 NATO 02900 01 OF: 02 0322172

84 ACTION EUR 20

INFO CIAE 00. GPM. 04. INR: 07.L! 03. NEA 13. NSAE 00.P. 04. RSC 01.SP 02.

USTA 12:55 20:NSC 10:PCH 04:ACDA 16 RSR 01./117

P.R. Ø321227 MAY 68
FM: USMISSION NATO
TO SECSTATE WASHOC PRIORITY 2078:
SECDEF WASHOC
INFO USCINCEUR
USCINCLANT
USNMR! SHAPE
AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS:

S E CER ELT SECTION TOF 2 USNATO 2900

NATUS:

SUBJET DPC DISCUSSION OF CAPABILITIES AND RISKS!

1. SUMMARY. 2 MAY OPE DISCUSSION OF FORCE GOAL PROPOSALS

PAGE: 2, RUFHNA 2900/1 S. E. C. R. E. T.

(SEPTEL) LED PERMREPS INTO USEFUL DISCUSSION OF US VIEW

OF CAPABILITIES OF THE WARSAW PACT AND, AS A RELATED MATTER,

OF THE ROLE OF INTELLIGENCE IN NATO POLITICAL MILITARY

DECISIONS. THIS OFFERED A CHANCE TO PUT BOTH IN FOCUS,

AND CLEVELAND TRIED TO DO SO. IT WAS GENERALLY AGREED THAT

OPC. MINISTERS: WOULD UNDOUBTEDLY WISH TO CARRY ON THE DISCUSSION: SYG BROSIO SPECIFICALLY SUGGESTED THAT SECRETARY CLIFF

FORD BE READY TO EXPLAIN THE US FOOTNOTE (3) ON PAGE: 4 OF

OPC. DISSENTS:

PROMITHE MC RISK ASSESSMENT.

2. DESTAERCKE (BELGIUM) STARTED THE DISCUSSION ON THIS

NATO 5-3

ഗ ഗ

 $\omega \circ$



SECRET

PAGE: 02 NATO 02900 01 OF 02 0322172

POINT BY CALLING ATTENTION TO THE FOOTNOTE. THIS FOOTNOTE, WHICH IS IDENTICAL TO LANGUAGE IN MCM-20-68, SAYS THAT GTE. THE UNITED STATES MILITARY AUTHORITIES REGARD THE MAJOR NATO COMMANDERS! VIEWS OF FORCE CAPABILITIES AND RESULTANT RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH THEIR 1969-1973 FORCE PROPOSALS AS EXAGGERATING THE CAPABILITIES OF THE WAR-SAW PACT END OTE: DE STAERCKE FOUND THIS STATEMENT À VERY IMPORTANT ONE PARTICULARLY IN ITS BEARING ON THE APPRECIA

PAGE 3 RUFHNA 2900/1 SEE CORE TO THE THEOLOGY THROUGH 1977 TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE DPC IN THE FALL OF THIS YEAR.

ONCKEN (FRG) CHARACTERIZED THE FOOTNOTE AS INCONSISTENT WITH THE MILITARY COMMITTEE VIEW THAT THE MILITARY RISKS WOULD INCREASE IF THE FORCE PROPOSALS FOR 1973 CANNOT BE ACHIEVED OR OF NATO'S OVERALL FORCE CAPABILITY IS REDUCED (PARAGRAPH 21(C)) OF DPC/D(68110(DRAFT)). HE SAW THE US FOOTNOTE AS LIKELY TO GIVE THE IMPRESSION THAT A BALANCE HAD BEEN ATTAINED IN NATO AND WARSAW PACIF. FROM WHICH SOME COULD DRAW THE CONCLUSION THAT NATIONS COULD EVEN REDUCE DEFENSE EFFORTS.

4. CLEVELAND EXPLAINED THE US FOOTNOTE WAS NOT INTENDED TO, AND SHOULD NOT, ENCOURAGE THE REDUCTION OF NATIONAL DEFENSE EFFORTS. IT REFLECTS OUR BELIEF'S BASED ON ANALYTIC EXAMINATION OF AGREED INTELLIGENCE, THAT THE PACT THREAT IS SERIOUS, BUT THAT A MORE REFINED AND REALISTIC ASSESSMENT OF WARSAW PACT MILITARY POSTURE SUGGESTED THE MILITARY SITUATION IN EUROPE WAS NOT AS HOPELESS AS SOME NATO MILITARY ANALYSES

PAGE: 4: RUFHNA 2900/1 S: E! C R: E. T'
WOULD! INDICATE: ONCKEN! THEN REMARKED THAT IN THIS LIGHT
BOTH::THE FRG AND THE US APPARENTLY AGREE THAT AN ACCEPTABLE.
BALANCE DOES NO! NOW EXIST: I .E. . THAT NATO! NATIONS CANNOT'
RELAX THEIR DEFENSE EFFORTS.

5. DE' STAERCKE THAN SUGGESTED THAT THE DECLINVITE THE

TELEGRAM

SECRET

PAGE: 03 NATO 02900 01 OF 02 0322172

CHAIRMAN OF THE MILITARY COMMITTED TO BE READY ON 10 MAY
TO DISCUSS THE MILITARY COMMITTED VIEW OF THE THREAT AND
ASSOCIATED RISKS. GENERAL DE CUMONT REPLIED THAT HE WAS
HAPPY AT THE ADVANCE NOTICE, BUT NOT AT ALLECONFIDENT ABOUT
THE UTILITY OF THE DISCUSSION. HIS FEAR WAS THAT COUNTRIES
WOULD SEIZE ON THE US FOOTNOTE TO JUSTIFM A REDUCTION IN
EFFORT HE SAID! (INCORRECTLY) THAT DISARMAMENT EXPERTS.
MAINLY CIVILIANS, HAD REACHED THE CONCLUSION THAT NATO
AND WARSAW PACT "DIVISION SLICES" WERE EQUIVALENT, WHICH
WAS A FORMULA TO DISMANTLE NATO DEFENSES. (CLEVELAND LATER
CORRECTED THIS POINT, NOTING THAT THE DISARMAMENT EXPERTS
HAD NOT, RPT NOT, CONCLUDED THAT "DIVISIONAL THEATER' SLICES"
WERE EQUIVALENT, THAT IN FACT THE SOVIET ARMY SLICES
COMPARED TO OURS ABOUT THREE TO ONE.)

PAGE 5: RUFHNA 2900/1 S'E C'R'E'T

THIS IN TURNILED BOOM (NETH) TO ASK! WHETHER NATO INTELLIGENCE: WAS: PRODUCED: OBJECTIVELY AS INFORMATION ON WHICH: TO MAKE! DECISIONS OR WITH THE CLEAR PURPOSE OF KEEPING NATO: FORCES FROM BEING! REDUCED. HE! SUGGESTED THAT IN THE FUTURE THE DRC: SHOULD: MEET WITH: INTELLIGENCE EXPERIS TO HAVERATHER DETAILED DISCUSSIONS! AT THE MOMENT HE HAD NO! WAY OF REVIEWING THE POSSIBLE POLITICAL ORIEN. TATION OF NATO INTELLIGENCE.

GP-3: CLEVELAND

NOTE: POUCHED BRUSSELS: BY OCATA

DECLASSIFIED

Authority NNO 969000

By St NARA Date 8-29-01

Department of State TELEGRAM

SECRET 9725

PAGE Ø1 NATO Ø2900 Ø2 OF Ø2 .Ø322257

84 ACTION EUR 20

INFO CIAE ØØ, GPM Ø4, INR Ø7, L Ø3, NEA 13, NSAE ØØ, P Ø4, RSC Ø1, SP Ø2,

USIA 12.55 20.NSC 10.PCH 04.ACDA FARSR 01.117 W

P. R. Ø32122Z MAY 68 FM USMISSION NATO TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 2079 SECDEF WASHDC

INFO USCINCEUR USCINCLANT

USNMR SHAPE AMEMBASSY BONN AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS UNN

S E C R E T SECTION 2 OF 2 USNATO 2900 CONTA

NATUS

TO INTELLIGENCE WAS WORTH MOVING TO A HIGHER LEVEL OF DISCUSSION. DRAWING ATTENTION TO THE APPARENTLY TRADITIONAL.

ala teX 負担な 「You this

PAGE 2 RUFHNA 2900/2 S E C.R E T
VIEW IN NATO THAT IT IS GOOD FOR OUR DEFENSE EFFORT TO
PROVE THAT THE MILITARY SITUATION IS HOPELESS -- THAT THE
ENEMY WOULD HAVE AND HOLD AIR SUPERIORITY OVER WEST GERMANY
AND THAT HE WOULD BE AT THE CHANNEL IN THREE DAYS -CLEVELAND SAID THAT IF A NATO POLTICAL LEADER ACTED ON
THIS BASIS, HIS POLICY TOWARD THE SOVIET UNION WOULD BE
SIMILAR TO THAT OF FINLAND OR BURMA, LUCKILY
OUR GOVERNMENTS DO NOT TAKE SERIOUSLY THIS TRADITIONAL
EXAGGERATED INTELLIGENCE VIEW AND ARE MAINTAINING A USEFUL DEFENSE LEVEL, PROPERLY HANDLED AT THE POLITICAL
LEVEL, THE VIEW THAT WE ARE AT A REASONABLE DISTANCE
FROM AN ACCEPTABLE BALANCE BEJWEEN WARSAW PACT AND NATO



TELEGRAM

SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 02900 02 0F 02 0322257

CAPABILITIES: WOULD: BE A VERY USEFUL INCENTIVE TO: COMPLETING THE BALANCE: THIS WOULD THEN GIVE US THE POSSIBILITY OF INSTITUTIONALIZING THE PEACEREFING STALEMATE
AT LOWER LEVELS: THROUGH MUTUAL FORCE REDUCTIONS -WHERAS IF WE REALLY BELIEVED THE CONCLUSIONS TRADITIONALLY
DRAWN FROM MOST NATO INTELLIGENCE CONSIDERATION OF MUTUAL
FORCE REDUCTIONS: WOULD: BE! INCONCEIVABLE, SINCE WE WOULD
BE ADOPTING A POLICY OF INSTITUTIONALIZING THE ADVERSARY'S

PAGE 3: RKHNA 2900/2 S E C R E T SUPERIORITY: IN SUM, OUR VIEW IS THAT THE ADVERSARY IS NOT SO POWERFUL THAT A MUCH MORE EFFECTIVE USE OF THE SIZEABLE RESOURCES NATO IS PLANNING TO SPEND (SOME HUNDRED BILLION DOLLARS INVOLVED IN CURRENT FIVE-YEAR DEFENSE PLANS) CANNOT BRING BALANCE WITH WARSAW PACT CAPABILITIES: WITHIN REACH. OUR INTELLIGENCE JUDGMENTS SHOULD NOT BE DISTORTED TO BE A BASIS FOR EITHER MILITARY, BUILD-UP OR REDUCTION: THEY SHOULD REFLECT AN EFFORT. TAKING INTO ACCOUNT IMPROVED INTELLIGENCE TECHNOLOGY. TO GIVE, A BETTER PICTURE OF WHAT THE ADVERSARY HAS NOT FOR EXAMPLE) MAXIMIZING THE THREAT BY TAKING THE TOP OF EXAMPLE OF ESTIMATES. CLEVELAND CONCLUDED THAT THIS IS INDEED A GOOD! SUBJECT FOR MINISTERS TO DISCUSS.

8. BROSIO SUMMED UP THIS PHACE OF DISCUSSION BY EXPRESSING THE HOPE THAT SECRETARY CLIFFORD WOULD REAFFIRM ON IN MAY THAT THE US VIEW IS INTEDED TO SUPPORT RATHER THAN REDUCE CONTINUED DEFENSE EFFORT. WITH THE AIM OF ATTAINING AND MAINTIANING BALANCE. HE DESCRIBED THE DISCUSSIONS AS CENTERED AROUND NON-NUCLEAR CAPABILITIES.

PAGE A RUFHNA 2900/2 SEEFCER ETT AND NOTED THAT NUCLEAR CAPABILITIES WERE UNDER STUDY IN THE NPG. HE AGREED THAT INTERPRETATION OF AGREED INTELL A LIGENCE SHOULD BE A MATTER OF DISCUSSION BY MINISTERS.

9. THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY HERE TO EMPHASIZED AT THE RIGHT LEVEL AND IN THE RIGHT FORUMD THAT WE ARE WORKING



TELEGRAM

SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 02900 02 OF 02 0322257

FOR ACCURATE APPRAISALS AS BEST BASIS ON WHICH WE CAN IMPROVE OUR COLLECTIVE DEFENSES -- AND NOT, REPEAT NOT, DOCTORING THE FACTS TO PAVE THE WAY FOR US FORCE REDUCTIONS OR DENUCLEARIZATION. (HEALEY HAS PRIVATELY ALLEGED THIS, AND GERMANS HAVE BEEN WONDERING OUT LOUD ABOUT IT.) THE MAY 10 MEETING SHOULD BE USED TO EXERCISE THIS GHOST ONCE AGAIN. GP-3. CLEVELAND

NOTE: POUCHED BRUSSELS BY OC/T.