UK EYES ONLY

Annex (Continued)

delineation of responsibilities for nuclear strike action and at paragraph 29 regarding air defence priorities.

Naval Forces

- 39, SACEUR's broad concept of navel operations is:-
 - (a) In conjunction with external strike forces and components of the Allied Command Europe strike forces to conduct nuclear counter-offensives.
 - (b) rate conduct a formed defence against the entry of verenemy fonces into Allied Command Europe waters by inexpressing positive control over the Black Sea Straits, the Straits of Gibraltar, the Baltic exits and, in conjuction with SaCLANT, the waters between Norway and Spitzbergen.
 - (c) To conduct limited operations forward of the defence lines in the Baltic and Black Seas and to contain forces threatoning Allied Command Europe; and behind these lines to conduct anti-submarine operations.
 - (d) To conduct mine counter-mansure operations.
- 40. In addition to the foregoing SaCable requires mayor forces in support of ground operations for the defence of land frontiers. Their toucs are:-
 - (a) The seaward protection of Allied Command Europe land forces.
 - (b) Protection of constal convoys.
 - (c) Mining constal and inshore waters.
 - (d) Senward defence.
- 41. The types of mayol forces SACEDR requires are:-
 - (a) A Strike Fleet composed of a carrier task group and missile submarines.
 - (b) A Shield Force composed of anti-submarine vessels and aircraft, submarines and fast patrol boats, mine-laying and minesweeping units, and strike, mining and maritime aircraft. In addition SACEUR requires shore-based detection systems and organisations, which he states are national responsibilities.
 - (c) Amphibicus Forces to be part of the strategic reserve and to be available after D-day.
 - (d) A Strategic Naval Task Force Shock suggests that the makings of such a force already exist in the Royal Navy and French Ravy. He envisages its use on occasions short of general war when its mobility

UK EYES ONLY

Annex (Continued)

and power would be valuable. SACEUR admits that he has no initial wartime task for this force and that not included it in his force requirements.

42. The naval force totals, with the exception of the strike fleet carrier task group, allow for one third of the ships being in harbour or logistic supply area at any one time.

Our Viows

43. We consider that SACEUR's concept of naval operations and the types of forces he requires are generally in line with his everall task, subject to our comment in paragraph 22 regarding the conduct of the nuclear counter offensive. We have the following additional comments:-

- (a) We are not happy about the employment of escorts in the Mediterranean on Hunter/Killer operations; some of these forces might well be better employed in the Gibraltar Area. We consider the requirements for all A/S operations in the Mediterranean, including Greek and Turnish actors, must be carefully scrutinised, any surplus being re-deployed to the Iberhant area.
- (b) SACEUR atries that all his forces must be ready on D-day. As far as United Kingdom forces are concerned, some D-day forces will not be an station unless there is a warning period as they will be deployed on , world wide basis to meet percetime commitments.
- (e) We feel it would be prometure to remark on Sackbur's idea of a strategic naval task force in conditions short of global war until he has had an opportunity to make his intentions clear.
- (d) We consider that the noted I'm amphibious forces a should be examined further in conjunction with a similar requirement put forward by SaCLiff.

LOGISTIC THFLICATIONS

44. SACEUR states that logistic support must be available for his Torous until they can be errectively re-supplied.

NATO policy in the past has laid down that sufficient stocks must be available to last 90 days but SACEUR approciates that, because of such factors as force attrition, intensity of operations, damage, etc., these stocks can be reduced.

Positioning of Nuclear Wormends

45. S.CEUR considers that planning for the distribution of nuclear warmends and proceed at the within the frame-work of present laws and interactional agreements.

* MC 55 (new in process of reviseon)

- 10 -

UK EYES ONLY

TR EAEG ONTA

Annex (Continued)

Our Views

46. The United Kingdom's views are that the war, except possibly residual operations at sea, will be decided in the first thirty days. If it continues beyond this period it will be fought with forces and supplies remaining. The United Kingdom does not intend to provide reserves in excess of thirty days at United Kingdom rates with the exception of those required for naval and maritime operations.

47. We consider that the important problem of positioning nuclear warheads requires separate study in due course.

CONCLUSIONS

48. We conclude that: -

- (a) SACEUR'S Study is generally in line with the NATO Strategic Concept and the United Kingdom White Paper on Defence, paragraph 20.
- (b) Except in minor details, SACEUR's appreciation of the Soviet threat is correct (paragraph 14).
- (c) SACEUR implies the possibility of limited war involving Allied Command Europe. This is not in accordance with the NATO Strategic Concept or United Kingdom thought (paragraphs 15 and 25).
- (d) SACEUR correctly states his tasks in general war provided that, in stating a requirement to join in the nuclear counter-offensive, he only intends to support his cwn shield Torces (paragraph 22).
- (e) Priority for "ready forces" can only be considered against all other force requirements for the free world (paragraph 24).
- (f) The principle of balanced collective forces in NATO is agreed, but the United Kingdom's participation must be limited by her world-wide interests and other Treaty obligations (paragraph 30).
- (g) If part of the Strategic Reserve is stationed in Germany, the likelihood of its removal at short notice must be made clear (paragraph 31).
- (h) No detailed comments can be made on SACEUR's force requirements until the operational concept and the threat have been reconciled between the three Supreme Commands (paragraph 32).
- (j) Should a comparison between force availabilities and Minimum Force Requirements show a substantial deficiency, it will then be for the Council to direct a reappraisal designed to make the best use of the forces likely to be available (paragraph 32).

delity

wind ?

UK EYES ONLY

1 1 1 1 1 1

10 km & 🚅

Annex (Concluded)

- (k) It would be prometure to comment on SACEUR's idea of a strategic naval task force in conditions short of global war until he has had an opportunity of making his intentions clear (paragraph 43(c)).
 - (1). The distribution of nuclear warhonds requires separate study in due course (paragraph 47).

- 12 -

UK EYES OHLY